arXiv:1703.04776v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 14 Mar 2017

Symmetry-enforced quantum spin Hall insulators in π-flux models

Jiaxin Wu,[1] Tin-Lun Ho,[1] and Yuan-Ming Lu[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA*

We prove a Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem for the quantum spin Hall effect (QSHE) in two-dimensional π-flux models. In the presence of time reversal, $U(1)$ charge conservation and magnetic translation (with π-flux per unit cell) symmetries, if a generic interacting Hamiltonian has a unique gapped symmetric ground state at half filling (i.e. an odd number of electrons per unit cell), it can only be a QSH insulator. In other words, a trivial Mott insulator is forbidden by symmetries at half filling. We further show that such a symmetry-enforced QSHE can be realized in cold atoms, by shaking an optical lattice and applying a time-dependent Zeeman field.

PACS numbers:

Introduction— As the first theoretically predicted topological insulator[1, 2], quantum spin Hall effect (QSHE) in two spatial dimensions has drawn much attention due to its physical novelty and potential applications[3–5]. In spite of numerous theoretical efforts, so far its experimental realization has been largely restricted to semiconductors, where a "band inversion" driven by strong spin-orbit couplings can turn a trivial band insulator into a nontrivial QSH insulator. It is also unclear how this band-inversion mechanism for QSHE can be extended to a generic interacting system.

One definitive feature of QSHE is that a π-flux excitation therein can carry a half-integer spin and form a Kramers doublet[6, 7]. Inspired by this property, we show that the magnetic translation symmetry can serve as a new mechanism for QSHE at half filling, i.e. with an odd number of electrons (and a π-flux) per unit cell. Specifically we prove a Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) type theorem, which forbids a trivial band insulator ground state: it dictates any short-range-entangled ground state that preserves all symmetries *must* be a QSH insulator. Applicable to a generic system, this theorem sheds new light in the search of QSHE in strongly-interacting systems.

We demonstrate the power of this theorem in a simple model on square lattice. We further show that this model with magnetic translation symmetry can be realized by cold atoms in a shaking optical lattices, when a time-dependent Zeeman field is applied.

A LSM theorem for QSHE in π-flux models— We consider a generic interacting (half-integer-spin) fermion system on any two-dimensional lattice with magnetic translation symmetry

$$\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_1^{-1}\tilde{T}_2^{-1} = (-1)^{\hat{F}} \tag{1}$$

where $\tilde{T}_{1,2}$ are magnetic translations associated with Bravais lattice vectors $\vec{a}_{1,2}$, and $\hat{F}$ is the conserved total fermion number in the system. Magnetic translation symmetry (1) simply indicates a π flux threaded through each unit cell on the 2d lattice. We also require time reversal symmetry $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{T}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}} \tag{2}$$

for fermions with half-integer spins. Our no-go theorem of Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) type states the following:

Theorem 1. *Consider a generic interacting (half-integer-spin) fermion system with $U(1)$ charge conservation, time reversal (2) and magnetic translation (1) symmetries. With $\bar{\rho}_f$ = odd fermions per unit cell, if there is a unique insulating ground state with no topological degeneracy that preserves all symmetries, it must be a QSH insulator.*

This theorem has an important difference as compared to other LSM theorems proved earlier[8–13], in the following sense. Usually a LSM theorem completely rules out the possibility of any short-range-entangled (SRE) symmetric ground state: *i.e.* the ground state is either long-range entangled (gapless or intrinsic topological orders with ground state degeneracy), or spontaneously breaks the symmetry. In comparison, Theorem 1 allows the possibility of a nontrivial SRE symmetric ground state *i.e.* a symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phase[14, 15]. These SPT phases cannot be connected to a trivial product state (*e.g.* a trivial Mott insulator) smoothly without either breaking symmetries or closing the bulk gap (via a phase transition). More interestingly they exhibits symmetry-protected gapless edge excitations, such as the helical edge modes in a QSH insulator here. Theorem 1 can be generalized to other symmetries, hence suggesting a new mechanism to realize SPT phases of matters.

Before giving a formal proof, we first present an intuitive argument for Theorem 1. In a system with particle number conservation, time reversal (2) and translational symmetries, any SRE ground state must have a Kramers singlet (*i.e.* an even number of fermions) per unit cell as proved in Ref.[13]. However in our half-filled system with

magnetic translations (1), there is only 1 fermion in addition to a background π-flux in each unit cell. In a trivial Mott insulator, a π-flux carries a trivial representation of time reversal symmetry, leading to 1 Kramers doublet in each unit cell, incompatible to a SRE symmetric ground state at half filling. On the other hand, it's well-known that an interacting character for a 2d QSH insulator is that each π-flux traps a Kramers doublet as protected by time reversal symmetry[6, 7]. As a result 1 fermion and 1 π-flux provides 2 Kramers doublets (hence a Kramers singlet) per unit cell, and therefore only a QSH insulator ground state is compatible with magnetic translation and time reversal symmetries at half filling.

Proof of The Theorem— Below we provide a proof, combining a flux-insertion argument with an entanglement-spectrum argument[13, 16]. Without loss of generality we consider a square lattice on an infinite cylinder with a circumference L_y = odd. We can always choose a Landau gauge for magnetic translation (1)

$$\tilde{T}_y = T_y, \quad \tilde{T}_x = T_x \cdot (-1)^{\sum_{\mathbf{r}} y \hat{n}_{\mathbf{r}}}, \tag{3}$$

$$A_x(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad A_y(\mathbf{r}) = x\pi. \tag{4}$$

where $T_{x,y}$ are pure translations, $A_{x,y}$ are vector potentials for fermion hopping phases, and $\hat{n}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the fermion number on lattice site $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$. Notice that magnetic translation $\tilde{T}_y$ is not well-defined on our cylinder with $L_y = odd$ circumference, and hence absent in our system. However, as will be shown below, there is an emergent many-body symmetry for the unique SRE ground state in our system[19].

Imagine we adiabatically insert a flux of $L_y\Phi_0/2$ (Φ_0 being the flux quantum) through the hole of the cylinder, during which there is always a finite excitation gap $\Delta_{min} > 0$ between the unique SRE ground state and all excited states in the many-body system. In particular the flux insertion operator is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y) \equiv \mathcal{T} e^{-\mathrm{i}\int_0^T \hat{H}[\phi_y(t)]\mathrm{d}t} \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{H}[\phi(t)]$ is the time-dependent (always gapped) Hamiltonian during the adiabatic flux insertion process, with $\phi_y(0) = 0$ (no flux) at $t = 0$ and $\phi_y(T) = \pi L_y$ at $t = T$. Note that after the flux insertion, the vector potential along $\hat{y}$ direction is changed into

$$A'_y(\mathbf{r}) = A_y(\mathbf{r}) + \pi L_y/L_y = (x+1)\pi = A_y(\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}) \tag{6}$$

where $\vec{A}$ ($\vec{A}'$) is the vector potential before (after) the flux insertion. Clearly the whole lattice system is simply shifted by one lattice constant along $\hat{x}$ direction in the flux insertion process, and hence the unique SRE many-body ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ must be mapped to itself (up to a phase ϕ_0) after the combined operation

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y \equiv T_x^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y), \quad |\Psi_1\rangle \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y|\Psi_0\rangle = e^{\mathrm{i}\phi_0}|\Psi_0\rangle \tag{7}$$

Hence unitary operator $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y$ serves as an emergent many-body symmetry on the odd-circumference cylinder, which plays a crucial role in our proof.

Next we consider the Schmidt decomposition of unique SRE ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ across an entanglement cut along $\hat{y}$ direction located at $x_0 - 1 < \bar{x} < x_0$:

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_\alpha \lambda_{\bar{x},\alpha} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},R} \tag{8}$$

where $\lambda_{\bar{x},\alpha}$ are Schmidt weights. Note that each Schmidt state $|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L/R}$ is an eigenstate of fermion number operator $\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \hat{n}_{\mathbf{r}} - \bar{\rho}_f$

$$\sum_{x<\bar{x}} \hat{Q}_{\mathbf{r}} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L} = Q_{\alpha,\bar{x}} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L} \tag{9}$$

where $Q_{\alpha,\bar{x}}$ is the number fluctuation relative to average density $\bar{\rho}_f$ in the left region $x < \bar{x}$, which is well-defined in the thermodynamic limit[13]. Under time reversal symmetry operation $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$, Schmidt eigenstate $|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L}$ either transforms as a Kramers singlet or doublet depending on $\mathcal{T}^2 = \pm 1$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}^2 |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L} = (\mathcal{T}^2)_{\alpha,\bar{x}} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L}, \tag{10}$$

$$(\mathcal{T}^2)_\alpha = e^{\mathrm{i}\Phi_{\bar{x}}} e^{\pi\,\mathrm{i}\,Q_{\alpha,\bar{x}}} = \pm 1. \tag{11}$$

where $\Phi_{\bar{x}}$ is a phase factor independent of Schmidt eigenstates $|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L}$. Now let's consider the ground state

$$|\Psi_1\rangle \equiv T_x^{-1} \mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y)|\Psi_0\rangle = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_0} \sum_\alpha \lambda_{\bar{x},\alpha} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},R} \tag{12}$$

after flux insertion, which has the same Schmidt eigenstates as $|\Psi_0\rangle$ up to a global phase factor. Clearly $\mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y)|\Psi_0\rangle = T_x|\Psi_1\rangle$ is also a symmetric SRE state with Schmidt decomposition

$$\mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y)|\Psi_0\rangle = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_0} \textstyle\sum_\alpha \lambda_{\bar{x},\alpha} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,L} |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,R},$$
$$|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,L/R} \equiv \hat{T}_x |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L/R}. \tag{13}$$

across an entanglement cut along $\hat{y}$ direction at $\bar{x}+1 \in (x_0, x_0+1)$. Meanwhile if we choose the same entanglement cut at $\bar{x}+1$, $|\Psi_0\rangle$ can be Schmidt decomposed into

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_\beta s_{\bar{x}+1,\beta} |\beta\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,L} |\beta\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,R} \tag{14}$$

where the Schmidt eigenstates of $|\Psi_0\rangle$ at the two different cuts are related by

$$|\beta\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,L} = \sum_{p,\alpha} M^p_{\beta,\alpha} |p\rangle_{x_0} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L}. \tag{15}$$

As shown in Ref.[13] with $\bar{\rho}_f$ = odd fermions per unit cell, $|\beta\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,L}$ and $|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L}$ have different time reversal representations *i.e.*

$$(\mathcal{T}^2)_{\beta,\bar{x}+1} = -(\mathcal{T}^2)_{\alpha,\bar{x}}. \tag{16}$$



Figure 1: (color online) Illustration of π flux model (19) of a QSH insulator on square lattice. Solid (dashed) lines denote positive (negative) real hoppings between nearest neighbors (NNs), while dotted lines denote imaginary hoppings *i.e.* spin-orbit couplings between next NNs.

Meanwhile from relation (13) it's straightforward to see that $|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x}+1,L}$ and $|\alpha\rangle_{\bar{x},L}$ share the same time reversal representation *i.e.*

$$(\mathcal{T}^2)_{\alpha,\bar{x}+1} = (\mathcal{T}^2)_{\alpha,\bar{x}}. \tag{17}$$

As a result, the Schmidt eigenstates of many-body ground states before and after flux insertion *i.e.* $|\Psi_0\rangle$ and $\mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y)|\Psi_0\rangle$ across the same cut at $\bar{x}+1$ have different time reversal representations

$$(\mathcal{T}^2)_{\beta,\bar{x}+1} = -(\mathcal{T}^2)_{\alpha,\bar{x}+1}. \tag{18}$$

Notice that generically no pumping is induced by adiabatic flux insertion in a trivial Mott insulator that can change the time reversal representation of Schmidt eigenstates, and hence (18) is contradictory to a trivial Mott insulating ground state.

On the other hand, as shown in Ref.[17, 18], one Kramers doublet is pumped from one end of the cylinder to the other during the adiabatic π flux (L_y = odd) insertion $\mathcal{F}_y(\pi L_y)$. This "Z_2 spin pumping"[17] can change the Schmidt eigenstates from a Kramers singlet into a Kramers doublet, and is consistent with (18). Therefore while a trivial Mott insulator is ruled out by our entanglement spectrum argument, a QSH insulator becomes the only possible symmetric SRE ground state compatible with all symmetries at half filling. Therefore we've proved Theorem 1.

π-flux model on the square lattice— While Theorem 1 applies to a generic interacting fermion system, here we demonstrate its validity in a non-interacting fermion system for simplicity. In particular we consider the following π-flux model on square lattice (see FIG. 1)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_0 = \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{r},\sigma} \Big[&(-1)^x (t_x f^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\sigma} f_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{x},\sigma} + t_y f^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\sigma} f_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{y},\sigma}) \\ &+ \mathrm{i}\sigma \cdot t_2 f^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\sigma} f_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}+\hat{y},\sigma}\Big] + h.c.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It's straightforward to see that it preserves magnetic translation symmetry

$$\tilde{T}_x = T_x (-1)^{\sum_{\mathbf{r}} (x+y)\hat{n}_{\mathbf{r}}}, \quad \tilde{T}_y = T_y. \tag{20}$$

which differs from (3) merely by a gauge transformation $G_{(x,y)} = (-1)^{\frac{x(x-1)}{2}}$. Choosing doubled magnetic unit cell as $\psi_{(x,y)} \equiv (f_{(2x,y)}, f_{(2x+1,y)})^T$, the above Hamiltonian in momentum space writes

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_0 = \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} \Big\{ &t_x[(1-\cos k_x)\tau_x + \sin k_x \tau_y] + 2t_y \cos k_y \tau_z \\ &+ t_2 \sigma_z [-(\sin k_y + \sin(k_x+k_y))\tau_x \\ &+ (\cos k_y - \cos(k_x+k_y))\tau_y]\Big\}\psi_{\mathbf{k}}\end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{\tau}$ and $\vec{\sigma}$ are Pauli matrices for sublattice and spin indices respectively. Nearest neighbor real hoppings $t_{x,y}$ leads to two Dirac cones at two "valleys" $\mathbf{k} \simeq \pm(0, \pi/2)$

$$\hat{H}^{Dirac}_{\mathbf{q}} = t_x q_x \tau_y - 2t_y q_y \tau_z \mu_z - 2t_2 \sigma_z \tau_x \mu_z \tag{21}$$

while $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k} \mp (0, \pi/2)$ and $\vec{\mu}$ are Pauli matrices for valley index. Clearly next nearest neighbor spin-orbit couplings ($\mathrm{i}t_2$ term) open up a QSH mass gap for the Dirac fermions. It's straightforward to figure out the symmetry operations

$$R_{\tilde{T}_y} = \mathrm{i}\mu_z, \quad R_{\tilde{T}_x} = \mathrm{i}\tau_y \mu_x, \quad R_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathrm{i}\sigma_y \mu_x K \tag{22}$$

on the Dirac Hamiltonian (21), and therefore the only mass terms allowed by time reversal and magnetic translation symmetries are indeed the 3 QSH masses

$$\vec{\mathbf{V}}_{QSH} = \vec{\sigma}\tau_x \mu_z \tag{23}$$

as dictated by Theorem 1. By imposing open boundary condition, one can see the gapless edge modes in the energy spectrum as shown in FIG. 2.

Realization in cold atoms— Consider a single particle hamiltonian with a time dependent potential and a time dependent magnetic field

$$H = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M} + V(\mathbf{r}, t) - B\sigma_z \cos\Omega t \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \tag{24}$$

With a digital mirror device, one can engineer a static potential on a plane of the form,

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) \tag{25}$$



Figure 2: (color online) The band structure with open boundary condition (30 magnetic unit cells) in the $x-$direction. Here we set $t_x = t_y = -1.0$, and $t_2 = 0.3$. The blue line indicates the gapless edge mode localized at the left edge, while the red line indicates the one on the right.

or a shaking potential of the form

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t)) \\ = V(\mathbf{r}) - \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t) \cdot \nabla V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) + ... \quad (26)$$

where $\{\mathbf{R}\}$ form a Bravis lattice. We shall proceed by only keeping the term of Eq.(26), i.e. assuming we are in the perturbative regime. However, our discussions can proceed with an exact calculation. That is more involved and I shall discuss at other time. The perturbative calculation is valuable because it provides a quick way to find out whether the hamiltonian of the right symmetry can be constructed.

The hamiltonian is now

$$H(t) = H_o + H_1(t) + H_Z(t) \quad (27)$$

$$H_o = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M} + V(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad (28)$$

$$H_1(t) = -\sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t) \cdot \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\nabla V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad (29)$$

$$H_Z(t) = -B \cos\Omega t \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \sigma_z \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad (30)$$

We shall now consider the square lattice case, and take the static hamiltonian Eq.(28) to be $\hat{H}_o = \hat{T} + \hat{U}$

$$\hat{T} = -t \sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{e}} \left(a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{e},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} + h.c. \right), \quad \hat{U} = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{R} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} \quad (31)$$

where $\mathbf{R}$ forms a square lattice, and $\mathbf{e} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$. Repeated spin indices are being summed over. The term $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{R}$ is a linear static potential. $a_{\mathbf{R},\mu}$ annihilates a particle at site $\mathbf{R}$ with spin μ. The corresponding Wannier wavefunction will be denoted as $w_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})$ and is spin independent. With the expansion $\psi_\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} w_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{R},\mu}$, Eq.(29) can now be written

$$H_1(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{S},\mathbf{S}'} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t) \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{S}'}(\mathbf{R}) a^\dagger_{\mathbf{S},\mu} a_{\mathbf{S}',\mu} \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{S}'}(\mathbf{R}) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) \left[\nabla (w^*_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{r}) w_{\mathbf{S}'}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (33)$$

For a tight binging band, $w_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{r})$ can be well approximated by a real Guassian about the lattice site $\mathbf{S}$. It is then easy to see that the largest term in Eq.(33) are those $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{e}$; or $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}$, and it is easy to show

$$H_1(t) = -\lambda \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t) \cdot \mathbf{e} \left(a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{e},\mu} + h.c. \right) \quad (34)$$

where λ is a real number.

Next, we note that H_Z can be written as

$$H_Z = -B \cos\Omega t \sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}',\mu} F_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R},\mu} \sigma^z_{\mu\mu'} a_{\mathbf{R}',\mu'}, \\ F_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'} = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} w^*_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) w_{\mathbf{R}'}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (35)$$

The time dependent Schrodinger equation is now

$$\mathrm{i}\,\partial_t |\Psi(t)\rangle = \\ \left(-t \sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{e}} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{e},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} + h.c. + \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{R} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} \right) |\Psi(t)\rangle \\ -\lambda \sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{e}} \left((\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t)) (a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{e},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} + h.c. \right) |\Psi(t)\rangle \\ -B(\cos\Omega t) \sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'} F_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R},\mu} \sigma^z_{\mu\mu'} a_{\mathbf{R}',\mu'} |\Psi(t)\rangle \quad (36)$$

Now consider

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}, t) = \sum_{i=1,2} \left(\mathbf{a}_i e^{\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{Q}_i \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega_i t)} + c.c \right), \quad \mathbf{a}_i \equiv \mathbf{c}_i + i\mathbf{d}_i. \quad (37)$$

where $\mathbf{c}_i$ and $\mathbf{d}_i$ are real vectors. Eq.(37) represents a shaking amplitude that is a linear combination of two oscillations, each one has its own frequencies ω_i, wavevector $\mathbf{q}_i$, and complex poliarization $\mathbf{a}_i$. As we shall, each oscillation $\mathbf{a}_i$ is to generate the desire hoppings in the x and y direction.

Now we perform a unitary transformation

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle \equiv e^{-i\hat{U}t} |\Phi(t)\rangle \quad (38)$$

where $\hat{U}$ is defined in (31). We have

$$i\partial_t|\Phi(t)\rangle = \left(-t\sum_{\mathbf{R},\mu} e^{i\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{e}t} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{e},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} + h.c.\right)|\Phi(t)\rangle$$
$$-\lambda\sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{e}}\sum_i \left[\mathbf{e}\cdot(\mathbf{a}_i e^{i(\mathbf{Q}_i\cdot\mathbf{R}-\omega_i t)} + c.c.)\right]\left[e^{i\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{e}t} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{e},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} + h.c.\right]|\Phi(t)\rangle$$
$$-B(\cos\Omega t)\sum_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'} F_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'} e^{i\mathbf{E}\cdot(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')t} a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R},\mu}\sigma^z_{\mu\mu'} a_{\mathbf{R}',\mu'}|\Phi(t)\rangle \equiv \left[(1^{st}) + (2^{nd}) + (3^{rd})\right]|\Phi(t)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{H}|\Phi(t)\rangle \quad (39)$$

Consider now

$$\omega_1 = E_x, \quad \mathbf{a}_1 = c_1\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_1 = q\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (40)$$

$$\omega_2 = E_y, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = d_1\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_2 = q\hat{\mathbf{x}}. \quad (41)$$

$$\Omega = E_x + E_y, \quad (42)$$

The hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in Eq.(39) then consists of both time independent term $\mathcal{K}$ and time dependent terms $\mathcal{K}_1$. The time independent terms are

$$\mathcal{K} = \sum_{\mathbf{R}}\Big[-\lambda e^{\mathrm{i}qR_x}(c_1 a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\hat{\mathbf{x}},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu} + d_1 a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\hat{\mathbf{y}},\mu} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu}) - BF a^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}+\hat{\mathbf{y}},\mu}\sigma^z_{\mu\mu'} a_{\mathbf{R},\mu'} + h.c.\Big] \quad (43)$$

where $F = F_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}}$. The time dependent terms $\mathcal{K}_1$ all contains oscillating factors of the form $e^{i\omega t}$. Since there are no resonances that are caused by these terms, they will be averaged to zero. So we can focus on $\mathcal{K}$. It's straightforward to see that (43) is the desired Hamiltonian (19) if $q = \pi$, which makes the factor $e^{\mathrm{i}qR_x} = (-1)^{R_x}$.

Conclusions— In this letter, we established a Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem for QSHE at half filling, enforced by magnetic translation symmetry with π-flux per unit cell. This theorem sheds new light in realizing QSHE in strongly interacting systems. We demonstrate the theorem by a square-lattice π-flux model, which we show can be realized in cold atoms in a shaking lattice under a time-dependent Zeeman field.

YML thanks Ying Ran and Masaki Oshikawa for related collaborations, and KITP "topoquant16" program for hospitality where part of this work was finished. This work is supported by xxxxxxxx (JW, TLH), Startup Funds at OSU (YML), and in part by NSF under Grant No. NSF PHY11-25915 (YML).

[1] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).
[2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057 (2011).
[3] M. Konig, B. Hartmut, L. W. Molenkamp, H. Taylor, C.-X. Liu, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77**, 031007 (2008).
[4] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Today **63**, 33 (2010).
[5] J. Maciejko, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **2**, 31 (2011).
[6] Y. Ran, A. Vishwanath, and D.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 086801 (2008).
[7] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 086802 (2008).
[8] M. Oshikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1535 (2000).
[9] M. B. Hastings, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 104431 (2004).
[10] M. B. Hastings, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **70**, 824 (2005).
[11] M. Oshikawa and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060601 (2006).
[12] S. A. Parameswaran, A. M. Turner, D. P. Arovas, and A. Vishwanath, Nat Phys **9**, 299 (2013).
[13] H. Watanabe, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and M. Zaletel, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **112**, 14551 (2015).
[14] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155114 (2013).
[15] T. Senthil, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1405.4015 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[16] F. Pollmann, A. M. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 064439 (2010).
[17] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 195312 (2006).
[18] M. Levin and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 196803 (2009).
[19] Yuan-Ming Lu, Ying Ran and Masaki Oshikawa, to appear.